## Original Article (Full Paper)

# Feasibility of using the ultrasound technique in the genetic improvement of young Japanese Black bulls

## Fortune Ntengwa JOMANE<sup>1</sup>, Tadaaki TOKUNAGA<sup>2</sup>, Takafumi ISHIDA<sup>2</sup>, Hiroshi HARADA<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Interdisciplinary Graduate School of Agriculture and Engineering, University of Miyazaki, Japan <sup>2</sup>Faculty of Agriculture, University of Miyazaki, Japan

(Recieved 19 March 2014 : Accepted 28 July 2014)

**ABSTRACT** The objective of this study was to evaluate the feasibility of using the ultrasound technique in the genetic improvement of young Japanese Black bulls. Ultrasonic scanning was undertaken at approximately 11 months of age, between the 6<sup>th</sup> -7<sup>th</sup> and 12<sup>th</sup>-13<sup>th</sup> rib cross section on the left side in performance test stock to obtain ultrasonic carcass traits of rib eye area (REA7/13), trapezius muscle thickness (TMT), latissimus muscle thickness (LMT), rib thickness (RT), subcutaneous fat thickness (SFT), intermuscular fat thickness (IMFT) and beef marbling score (BMS). Data were collected from 525 young Japanese Black bulls at the Livestock Improvement Association of Miyazaki. Single trait analysis was conducted by applying a mixed model that included a random effect for sire and fixed effects for testing year and season. Age at the start of the performance test was included as a covariate. The variance components were estimated by the residual maximum likelihood procedure and heritability was computed. Except BMS all ultrasonic carcass traits had moderate heritabilities, ranging from 0.15 to 0.40. Selection based on the phenotypic values of these ultrasonic traits should be effective for the genetic improvement of young Japanese Black bulls.

Journal of Warm Regional Society of Animal Science, Japan 57(2): 123-130, 2014 Keywords : Heritability, performance test stock, selection

## Introduction

Since the formation of breeding stock unions in 1962 and the registration of animals based on their pedigree, body condition score and body measurements, significant progress has been made in Japanese Black cattle breeding to date. During the 1950s the concept of progeny testing arose and subsequent standardised procedures were formulated in 1962. In the year 1968, official performance and progeny testing programs were initiated in various prefectures. The best linear unbiased prediction (BLUP) animal model was later introduced to predict breeding values using field progeny testing records (Namikawa 1992). Considering this background the organised genetic improvement of the Japanese Black breed stretches back to about 50 years ago. Nevertheless, the program could be improved by reducing the generation intervals through early prediction of carcass traits, resulting in more gains per unit time and reduced costs. Currently, the information used to predict carcass merit is collected through structured carcass sire progeny tests. Carcass sire

progeny tests are time consuming, expensive, and may be subject to more selection bias compared to evaluations based on live animal carcass traits (Sapp et al. 2002). Progeny testing, as the name implies, is a selection criterion based on the mean value of an individual's progeny. In practise, however, it suffers from serious drawback of much lengthened generation interval, because the selection of parents cannot be carried out until the offspring have been measured (Falconer 1981). Furthermore, progeny based selection methods may be biased because the parent being selected provides only half of the genes in the progeny, the other half may come from a superior or inferior mate giving an advantage or disadvantage to the parent in question (Falconer 1981). However, a number of ways have been innovated to assess live animal carcass traits, these include: ultrasound (US), mechanical and optical probes, electromagnetic scanning, electrical impedance, computer tomography and nuclear magnetic resonance (Wilson 1992). Computer tomography has been highlighted to be more accurate in

連絡者:Tadaaki TOKUNAGA(TEL · FAX: +81-(0)985-58-7199, E-mail: toku@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp)

predicting the body composition, however, US is much cheaper, quicker and easier to operate and has the tremendous advantage of mobility (Junkuszew and Ringdorfer 2005). The ultrasound technique has been demonstrated to be satisfactory in predicting rib eye area (REA), rib thickness (RT), subcutaneous fat thickness (SFT) and intermuscular fat thickness (IMFT) (Stouffer *et al.* 1961; Davis *et al.* 1966; Forrest *et al.* 1989). As alluded to previously, ultrasound facilitates the selection of individuals to be done in accordance with their own phenotypic values hence avoid the bias that may be attributed to using progeny phenotypic values.

Heritability informs the breeder how much confidence to place in the phenotypic performance of an animal when selecting parent stock. For highly heritable traits, where heritability exceeds 0.40, the animal's phenotype is a good indicator of genetic merit or breeding value. For lowly heritable traits, where heritability is below 0.15, an animal's performance is less useful in identifying the individuals with the best genes for the trait (Cassell 2009). Studies have shown that ultrasound measurements of fat thickness, REA and intramuscular fat percentage are moderate to high in heritability (Bertrand et al. 2001). Though ultrasonic measurements are currently collected in a number of prefectures in Japan; there is little information available in literature to assess the feasibility of genetic improvement of Japanese Black cattle based on these measurements. The objective of this study was to estimate heritabilities for ultrasonic measures of carcass traits in young Japanese Black cattle.

#### Materials and Methods

The data used in this study were collected from 525 young Japanese Black bulls at the Livestock Improvement Association of Miyazaki. The young bulls, performance tested from 1990 to 2012 were progeny of 67 sires and 334 dams. Each year, 20-30 bull calves were selected from designated farms within an age bracket of 6-7 months and a body weight range of 200-300 kg. All bulls were performance tested for 112 days at the station and housed in individual stalls with an adjacent paddock for voluntary exercise. After three weeks of being introduced to the feed, the animals were provided ad libitum access to roughage; however, feeding concentrate was restricted to twice a day. In addition to roughage and concentrate, water was supplied ad libitum. Sufficient feed was given to each animal based on prior consumption. Records of roughage and concentrate consumption were maintained on dry a matter basis.

Ultrasonic scanning was done at approximately 11 months of age on the left side in performance test stock. All traits except rib eye area (REA) were measured between the 6<sup>th</sup> -7<sup>th</sup> rib cross section only. REA was also measured between the 12<sup>th</sup>-13<sup>th</sup> rib cross section. Ultrasonic carcass traits of rib eye area (REA7/13), trapezius muscle thickness (TMT), latissimus muscle thickness (LMT), rib thickness (RT), subcutaneous fat thickness (SFT), intermuscular fat thickness (IMFT) and beef marbling score (BMS) were measured. The TMT was measured at the mid-point of the trapezius muscle while LMT was measured along the orthogonal line with skin surface, from the iliocostalis muscle. Both SFT and IMFT were measured along the same line with LMT. The RT was defined as the distance between the latissimus muscle and pleural membrane, measured 3.4 cm (laterally) from the edge of the iliocostalis muscle. Scanning equipment utilised in this study was SEM-500 (FHK Co. Ltd. Japan) and HS-2000 (FHK Co. Ltd. Japan) using a frequency of 2 MHz. All linear and areal measurements were conducted using image analysis software (ImageJ version 1.46r). BMS was assessed subjectively through visual appraisal on a scale ranging from 0.0 (lowest) to 3.0 with intervals of 0.33, and from 4.0 to 5.0 (highest) with an interval of 1.0 (Nishimura et. al. 1995). All ultrasound measurements were conducted by members of the Animal Breeding and Genetics Laboratory, University of Miyazaki.

Firstly, the data were subjected to least squares analysis of variance using  $JMP^{\circledast}$  5.0.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Furthermore, variance components were estimated by the REML procedure using the same software. Single trait analysis was undertaken by applying a mixed model that included a random effect for sire and fixed effects for testing year and season. Age at the start of the performance test was included as a covariate. Heritability was computed using the sire components of variance and the pooled sire and error components of variance in the manner of half-sib families. Standard errors of estimates of heritability were calculated by formulas of Falconer (1981) as implemented by Carnier *et al.* (2000).

#### **Results and Discussion**

Means, standard deviations (SD), minimum and maximum values for each variable are presented in Table 1. The mean for REA at the  $12^{th}$  rib position in the current study was smaller than those reported by Reverter *et al.* (2000); the authors reported values of 72.0 cm<sup>2</sup> and 68.7 cm<sup>2</sup> in Australian Angus and Hereford yearling bulls respectively. A study involving multiple breeds by Perkings *et al.* (1992) showed mean values of 76.3 cm<sup>2</sup>, 67.1 cm<sup>2</sup>, and 64.0

cm<sup>2</sup> in Brown Swiss steers, Zebu-cross Mexican steers and Corriente Mexican steers respectively. The SFT reported in this study was similar to reports on most foreign breeds (De Rose *et al.* 1988; Lamb *et al.* 1990; Shepard *et al.* 1996).

The least squares means and standard errors of ultrasonic carcass traits are presented in Table 2. Starting season did not significantly affect REA, TMT, LMT, RT, and BMS; however, it significantly affected both SFT and IMFT. The cattle that

 Table1
 Data summary including description of ultrasonic traits, units of measurements, number of records, means, minimum and maximum values

Trait	Description	Units	Records	$Mean\pm SD^{\dagger}$	${\rm Min}^\dagger$	$\mathrm{Max}^\dagger$
REA7	rib eye area at the 6 <sup>th</sup> -7 <sup>th</sup> rib cross section	cm <sup>2</sup>	525	31.9 ± 2.1	22.3	37.9
REA13	rib eye area at the 12 <sup>th</sup> -13 <sup>th</sup> rib cross section	cm <sup>2</sup>	525	$53.6 \pm 4.3$	37.7	73.0
TMT	trapezius muscle thickness	mm	525	$12.9\pm1.1$	10.2	15.3
LMT	latissimus muscle thickness	mm	525	$12.9\pm1.2$	10.1	19.3
RT	rib thickness	mm	525	$40.2\pm3.4$	25.4	64.9
SFT	subcutaneous fat thickness	mm	525	$6.1 \pm 1.7$	1.9	15.3
IMFT	intermuscular fat thickness	mm	525	$14.4\pm3.6$	4.8	28.1
BMS	beef marbling score	-	525	$0.74\pm0.2$	0.33	1.67

<sup>†</sup> SD = standard deviation, Min = minimum value, Max = maximum value.

	Effect						
	Year	Age	Season				
Trait <sup>†</sup>				Spring	Summer	Autumn	Winter
REA7 (cm <sup>2</sup> )	**	ns	ns	$31.62 \pm 0.25$	31.63 ± 0.21	32.17 ± 0.25	32.04 ± 0.19
REA13 (cm <sup>2</sup> )	**	ns	ns	$53.16\pm0.52$	$53.27\pm0.44$	$53.71 \pm 0.53$	$53.25\pm0.39$
TMT (mm)	**	*	ns	$12.70\pm0.13$	$12.94\pm0.11$	$12.92\pm0.13$	$12.88\pm0.09$
LMT (mm)	**	*	ns	$12.62 \pm 0.14$	$12.91 \pm 0.11$	$12.99\pm0.14$	$12.85\pm0.10$
RT (mm)	**	ns	ns	$39.09\pm0.47$	$39.76\pm0.39$	$39.60\pm0.50$	$40.35\pm0.32$
SFT (mm)	**	*	*	$5.30^{a} \pm 0.21$	$6.03^{\text{b}} \pm 0.18$	$6.21^{b} \pm 0.21$	$6.05^b \pm 0.16$
IMFT (mm)	**	ns	*	$13.60^{a} \pm 0.43$	$14.88^b {\pm}~0.35$	$14.11^{b} \pm 0.41$	$14.82^{b} \pm 0.31$
BMS	**	*	ns	$0.70 \pm 0.30$	$0.75\pm0.02$	$0.78\pm0.03$	$0.73 \pm 0.02$

Table2 Least squares means and associated standard errors for ultrasonic traits

<sup>†</sup> REA7 = rib eye area at the  $6^{th}$ - $7^{th}$  rib cross section, REA13 = rib eye area at the  $12^{th}$ - $13^{th}$  rib cross section, TMT = trapezius muscle thickness, LMT = latissimus muscle thickness, RT = rib thickness, SFT = subcutaneous fat thickness, IMFT = intermuscular fat thickness, BMS = beef marbling score.

<sup>a, b</sup> Row means with different superscripts differ significantly at P < 0.05.

\*: *P* < 0.05, \*\*: *P* < 0.001, ns = not significant.

Table3 Estimates of varianc	e components and heritability	with standard errors for ultrasonic traits
-----------------------------	-------------------------------	--

Trait <sup>†</sup>	Parameter <sup>‡</sup>						
Trait	Var(a)	Var(p)	h <sup>2</sup>				
REA7	1.833	4.745	$0.39\pm0.19$				
REA13	8.001	20.526	$0.39\pm0.19$				
TMT	0.211	1.345	$0.16\pm0.17$				
LMT	0.184	1.548	$0.15 \pm 0.17$				
RT	1.858	11.720	$0.16\pm0.17$				
SFT	1.277	3.219	$0.40\pm0.19$				
IMFT	3.259	13.812	$0.24\pm0.18$				
BMS	0.006	0.058	$0.10\pm0.16$				

<sup> $\dagger$ </sup> REA7 = rib eye area at the 6<sup>th</sup>-7<sup>th</sup> rib cross section, REA13 = rib eye area at the 12<sup>th</sup>-13<sup>th</sup> rib cross section, TMT = trapezius muscle thickness, LMT = latissimus muscle thickness, RT = rib thickness, SFT = subcutaneous

fat thickness, IMFT = intermuscular fat thickness, BMS = beef marbling score.

<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> The term Var(a) is the additive genetic variance, Var(p) is the phenotypic variance,  $h^2$  is the heritability for direct effects.

entered performance test in spring season exhibited significantly lower levels of subcutaneous and intermuscular fat thickness. These lower levels could be attributed to the hot season during which they were tested. In the performance test starting from spring, the cattle have to get through most of the hot summer season. These animals consequently have reduced feed intake and fat deposition. Except fat thickness, seasonal effects did not affect other traits; this is in agreement with reports by Chistison et al. (1990) and Bergen et al. (1997). The testing year had a highly significant effect on all ultrasonic carcass traits. Age of cattle at the start of the test did not significantly affect REA, RT and IMFT; however, it significantly affected TMT, LMT, SFT and BMS.

Variance components and heritability estimates for ultrasonic traits are presented in Table 3. Heritability for REA7 (0.39) was within the range of estimates by Fukuhara et al. (1989) in Japanese Black steers and Hirooka et al. (1996) in Japanese Brown steers. A comprehensive review by Oyama (2011) indicated a heritability range of 0.28-0.61 in Japanese Black cattle. The same study also reported a heritability range of 0.29-0.44 in Japanese Brown cattle. In a study by Kim et al. (2006) four different models were employed to estimate genetic parameters for carcass traits in Japanese Black cattle, the study reported estimates ranging from 0.19 to 0.31 for carcass REA. On the contrary, Kuchida et al. (1990), Arnold et al. (1991), Mukai et al. (1993) and Mukai (1994) obtained higher estimates of heritability than the present study: 0.65, 0.46, 0.54 and 0.45 in different breeds respectively. These differences could be attributed to variation in breed, age, management and gene frequencies in the populations reported on by these authors.

The heritability estimate of REA13 (0.39) obtained in this study was higher than some previous estimates. Turner *et al.* (1990) estimated the heritability value of REA13 to be 0.21 while Arnold *et al.* (1991) reported an estimate of 0.28. Robinson *et al.* (1993) and Shepard *et al.* (1996) estimated heritability of REA13 to be 0.24 and 0.11 in Angus cattle respectively. In yearling Brangus cattle, Moser *et al.* (1998) reported an estimate of 0.29. Estimates similar to this study were reported by Wilson *et al.* (1992) in Angus cattle (0.40). Furthermore, an estimate of 0.40 was reported by Johnson *et al.* (1993) in Brangus cattle.

Heritability estimates of TMT and LMT in this study were 0.16 and 0.15 respectively. To our knowledge they are no reports on the heritability of ultrasonic TMT and LMT. However, image analysis estimates of trapezius and latissimus muscle area had heritability values considerably higher than the current study. Osawa et al. (2004) found heritability values of 0.55 and 0.67 for trapezius and latissimus muscle area in Japanese Black cattle. In a similar study involving Japanese Black steers Osawa et al. (2007) reported a heritability of 0.47 for trapezius muscle area. There is need to research the phenotypic and genetic relationship between ultrasonic measures of TMT, LMT and the corresponding areal measurements in carcasses. The heritability estimate for RT (0.16) was slightly lower than most estimates in literature. Fukuhara et al. (1989) reported an estimate of 0.23 while Mukai et al. (1993) and Hirooka et al. (1996) had estimates of 0.29 and 0.26. The ultrasonic RT considered in this study is measured using a different position from that used in slaughter houses; this might therefore, lead to different estimates.

The estimated heritability for SFT (0.40) was in the same range as estimates by Koch et al. (1982). Their heritability estimate was from data pooled across 16 different sire breeds. DeRose et al. (1988) reported a heritability estimate of 0.49 in Angus cattle. On the contrary, lower estimates were reported by a number of authors. Lamb et al. (1990) reported an estimate of 0.24 while Arnold et al. (1991) reported a similar estimate of 0.26. Some estimates are higher than those presented in this study. Kuchida et al. (1990) and Shepard et al. (1996) reported estimates of 0.62 and 0.56 respectively. Intermuscular fat thickness (IMFT) heritability in the current study was estimated to be 0.24. Though few reports on the heritability of this trait are available in Japanese Black cattle because it is not included in the equation for calculating yield score in slaughter houses; yield score may be reduced if IMFT is deemed too thick.

In the current study a considerably low heritability (0.1) was obtained for BMS. The current estimate falls out of the range of values stated in a recent review by Oyama (2011). He indicated a range of 0.32-0.63 and unweighted and weighted heritability means of 0.49 and 0.61 respectively in Japanese Black cattle. In the current study BMS exhibited very little genetic variation. It has been long thought that intramuscular fat or marbling is a late developing tissue. Marbling develops at a late stage because feed components fed to cattle are first consumed in bone growth, then muscle growth and finally fat development. The results of this study are in agreement with this theory considering the tender age (11 months) of cattle sampled in this study.

This study revealed that it is feasible to improve most ultrasonic carcass traits in young breeding cattle through selection. However, it is noteworthy that the final product of beef cattle is meat that they produce at slaughter. Hence, future studies need to verify the relationship between the ultrasonic carcass traits measured in young breeding stock and actual carcass traits of their progeny.

#### Conclusions

Heritability estimates for rib eye area, rib thickness, muscle and fat thickness ranged from 0.15-0.40. The moderate heritabilities of these traits signify that their phenotypic values are moderate indicators of genetic merit or breeding values. This implies that most ultrasonic traits in young Japanese Black bulls could be improved genetically through selection. However, the heritability for marbling score was low, implying that phenotypic measures of this trait are less useful in identifying the individuals with best genes for the traits. Taking ultrasonic measurements to determine marbling at an older age might result in higher heritability estimates to facilitate selection for this trait.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Government of Japan for awarding a scholarship to the first author for conducting this study. The authors also gratefully acknowledge the personnel and staff at the Livestock Improvement Association of Miyazaki for providing the livestock and additional data used in this study.

#### References

- Arnold JW, Bertrand JK, Benyshek LL, Ludwig C. 1991. Estimates of genetic parameters for live animal ultrasound, actual carcass data and growth traits in beef cattle. Journal of Animal Science, 69: 985-992.
- Bergen RD, McKinnon JJ, Christensen DA, Kohle N, Belanger A. 1997. Use of real time ultrasound to evaluate live animal carcass traits in young performance tested beef bulls. Journal of Animal Science, 75: 2300-2307.
- Bertrand JK, Green RD, Herring WO, Moser DW. 2001. Genetic evaluation for beef carcass traits. Journal of Animal Science, 79 (Supplement): 190-200.
- Carnier P, Albera A, Dal Zotto R, Groen AF, Bona M, Bittante G. 2000. Genetic parameters for direct and maternal calving ability over parities in Piedmontese cattle. Journal of Animal Science, 78: 2532-2539.
- Cassell B. 2009. Using heritability for genetic improvement. Virginia Cooperative Extension, Publication Number: 404-084.
- Christison GI, Cymbaluk NF, Nicholson HH. 1990. Feed intake of growing bulls during severe winters. Canadian Journal of Animal Science, 70: 441-450.
- Davis JK, Temple RS, McCormick WC. 1966. A comparison of ultrasonic estimates of rib eye area and fat thickness in cattle. Journal of Animal Science, 25: 1087-1090.
- DeRose EP, Wilton JW, Schaffer LR. 1988. Estimation of variance components for traits measured

on station-tested beef bulls. Journal of Animal Science, 66: 626-634.

- Falconer DS. 1981. Quantitative Genetics (2rd edition). Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, UK.
- Forrest JC, Kuei CH, Orcutt MW, Schinckel AP, Stouffer JR, Judge MD. 1989. A review of potential new methods of on-line pork carcass evaluation. Journal of Animal Science, 67: 2164-2171.
- Fukuhara R, Moriya K, Harada H. 1989. Estimation of genetic parameters and sire evaluation for carcass characteristics with special reference to yield grade of new carcass grading standards. Japanese Journal of Zootechnology and Science, 60: 1128-1134. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- Hirooka H, Groen AF, Matsumoto M. 1996. Genetic parameters for growth and carcass traits in Japanese Brown cattle estimated from field records. Journal of Animal Science, 74: 2112-2116.
- Johnson MZ, Schalles RR, Dikeman ME, Golden BL. 1993. Genetic parameter estimates of ultrasoundmeasured longissimus muscle area and 12<sup>th</sup> rib fat thickness in Brangus cattle. Journal of Animal Science, 71: 2623-2630.
- Junkuszew A, Ringdorfer F. 2005. Computer tomography and ultrasound measurement as methods for the prediction of the body composition of lambs. Small Ruminant Research, 56: 121-125.
- Kim J, Lee C, Tsuyuki T, Shimogiri T, Okamoto S, Maeda Y. 2006. Sire-maternal grandsire Model and Sire model in estimation of genetic parameters for average daily gain and carcass traits of Japanese Black cattle. Asian-Australasian Journal of Animal Science, 19: 1678-1684.
- Koch RM, Cundiff LV, Gregory KE. 1982. Heritabilities and genetic, environmental and phenotypic correlations of carcass traits in a population of diverse biological types and their implications in selection programs. Journal of Animal Science, 55: 1319-1329.
- Kuchida K, Yamagishi T, Yamaki K, Mizuma Y. 1990. The estimation of genetic parameters for growth and carcass traits of Japanese Shorthorn. Japanese Journal of Zootechnology and Science, 61: 401-405. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- Lamb MS, Robinson OW, Tess MW. 1990. Genetic parameters for carcass traits in Hereford bulls. Journal of Animal Science, 8: 64-69.

- Moser DW, Bertrand JK, Misztal I, Kriese LA, Benyshek LL. 1998. Genetic parameter estimates for carcass and yearling ultrasound measurements in Brangus cattle. Journal of Animal Science, 76: 2542-2548.
- Mukai F, Okanishi T, Yoshimura T. 1993. Genetic relationships between body measurements of heifers and carcass traits of fattening cattle in Japanese Black. Animal Science Technology (Japan), 64: 865-872.
- Mukai F. 1994. Genetic variation and selection methods for carcass traits in Japanese Black cattle. Nihon Chikusan Gakkaiho, 65: 890-905. (in Japanese)
- Namikawa K. 1992. Breeding History of Japanese Beef Cattle and preservation of genetic resources as economic farm animals. Wagyu (2rd edition), Wagyu Registry Association, Kyoto, Japan.
- Nishimura T, Moriya K, Sasaki Y. 1995. Investigation on an Equation for Converting Marbling Score used in the Old Beef Carcass Grading Standards into Beef Marbling Standard. Animal Science Technology (Japan), 66 (6): 527-532. (in Japanese with English abstract)
- Osawa T, Hasegawa M, Kuchida K, Hidaka S, Sekikawa M, Tsukuda H. 2004. Estimation of genetic parameters for muscle area, subcutenous fat carcass cross section in Japanese Black cattle. Nihon Chikusan Gakkaiho, 75: 521-526. (in Japanese)
- Osawa T, Kuchida K, Hidaka S, Kato T. 2007. Genetic parameters for image analysis traits on M. longissimus thoracis and M. trapezius of carcass cross section in Japanese Black steers. Journal of Animal Science, 86(Supplement): 40-46.
- Oyama K. 2011. Genetic variability of Wagyu cattle estimated by statistical approaches. Animal Science Journal, 82: 367-373.
- Perkins TL, Green RD, Hamlin KE. 1992. Evaluation of ultrasonic estimates of carcass fat thickness and longissimus muscle area in beef cattle. Journal of Animal Science,70: 1002-1010.
- Reverter A, Johnson DJ, Graser HU, Wolcott ML, Upton WH. 2000. Genetic analyses of liveanimal ultrasound and abattoir carcass traits in Australian Angus and Hereford cattle. Journal of Animal Science, 78: 1786-1795.
- Robinson DL, Hammond K, McDonald CA. 1993. Live animal measurement of carcass traits: estimation of genetic parameters for beef cattle. Journal of

Animal Science,71: 1128-1135.

- Sapp RL, Bertrand JK, Pringle TD, Wilson DE. 2002. Effects of selection for ultrasound intramuscular fat percentage in Angus bulls on carcass traits of progeny. Journal of Animal Science, 80: 2017-2022.
- Shepard H, Green RD, Golden BL, Hamlin KE, Perkins TL, Diles JB. 1996. Genetic parameter estimates of live animal ultrasonic measures of retail yield indicators in yearling breeding cattle. Journal of Animal Science, 74: 761-768.
- Stouffer JR, Wallentine MV, Wellington GH, Diekman A. 1961. Development and application of ultrasonic methods for measuring fat thickness and rib eye area in cattle and hogs. Journal of Animal Science, 20: 759-767.
- Turner JW, Pelton LS, Cross HR. 1990. Using live animal ultrasound measures of rib eye area and fat thickness in yearling Hereford bulls. Journal of Animal Science, 68: 3502-3506.
- Wilson DE. 1992. Application of ultrasound for genetic improvement. Journal of Animal Science, 70: 973-983.

#### 要 約

# 超音波診断による黒毛和種種雄牛の選抜に関する研究

Fortune Ntengwa Jomane<sup>1</sup> · 徳永忠昭<sup>2</sup> · 石田孝史<sup>2</sup> · 原田 宏<sup>2</sup>

1宮崎大学大学院農学工学総合研究科・2宮崎大学農学部

連絡者: 徳永忠昭 (TEL·FAX: 0985-58-7199, E-mail: toku@cc.miyazaki-u.ac.jp)

種雄牛候補牛の選抜を行うための検定である産肉能力検定直接法(直接検定)は、増体能力や飼料効率等に 重きを置かれた選抜であるため、産肉形質に関する個体選抜としては必ずしも十分ではなく、産肉能力に優れ た種雄牛を造成する上で、早期かつ正確に優良種雄牛を選抜する手法の確立が急務とされている。そこで本研 究は、1990 ~ 2012 年までに宮崎県において直接検定を受検した 525 頭の種雄牛候補牛を供試牛として、検定 牛の超音波診断により得られた個体自身の産肉形質測定値の分散成分および遺伝率を推定することを目的と した。超音波測定で得られた分析対象形質は、およそ 11 ヶ月齢の直接検定牛の生体左側第 6-7 および第 12-13 肋骨間横断面におけるロース芯面積 (REA7/13)、第 6-7 肋骨間横断面における皮下脂肪厚 (SFT)、筋間脂肪厚 (IMFT)、バラ厚 (RT)、脂肪交雑 (BMS)、僧帽筋厚 (TMT) および 広背筋厚 (LMT)である。分散成分および遺 伝率を推定するために、JMP<sup>®</sup> 5.0.1 プログラムを用いて最小自乗分散分析および単形質解析 REML 法を行っ た.分析にあたり、要因効果として、種雄牛を変量効果、検定年度および検定開始季節を母数効果、開始時 月齢を回帰に取り上げた、本研究で取り上げた産肉形質の中で REA7/13、SFT、IMFT、RT、TMT および LMT は、中程度の遺伝率が推定され、直接検定中の超音波測定によって得られる個体自身の産肉形質が遺伝 的改良に利用できる可能性が示唆された。

日本暖地畜産学会報 57(2):123-130, 2014

キーワード:遺伝率,直接検定牛,選抜